

***REPORT ON***

**SOIL INVESTIGATION FOR CONSTRUCTION OF**

**+2 SCHOOL AT HIGH SCHOOL SARBAHADI, BIHARSHARIF**

**, NALANDA**

*Submitted to*

**CHIEF ENGINEER  
BSEIDC, PATNA.**

**SHAMVWI CONSULTANT**  
414, Jagat Trade Centre,  
Fraser Road, Patna – 800 001  
Tel.: 0612 – 2973107, 2366308, 2365145  
Fax: 0612 – 2214287  
Mobile: +919835218184, 8986215718.

## PREFACE

The present report on sub-soil investigation was carried out as per Chief Engineer, BSEIDC, Patna letter no BSEIDC/TECH/1960/2018-4981 dated 03.09.2019.

The entire investigation process was broadly divided into two category –one field work and second was laboratory work.


Field work includes conducting SPT ,Dynamic cone test, collection of disturbed as well as undisturbed soil samples from different location and different depth of sub-soil strata.

It was tried to get information from local people to get an idea about variation of water table during different season of year and also to get first hand information about type of foundation usually provided in the locality.

We thanks Prof. M.P.Jakhanwal (Retired) ,M.Tech ,Ph.D. ,Muzaffarpur Institute of Technology, Muzaffarpur for his valuable advice during laboratory test and during preparation of report.

Client's help is gratefully acknowledged in providing Bore hole locations, cooperation and guidance during finalization of report.

We belief that the present report will serve the purpose, for which sub-soil investigation has been carried out.



ANIL KUMAR SARIAR  
Partner, Shamvvi Consultant

## CONTENTS

SL.NO.	INDEX	PAGE NO.
1.	INTRODUCTION	1
2.	TOPOGRAPHY	1
3.	FIELD WORK	1
	3.1 BORING	1
	3.2 SAMPLING	2
4.	LABORATORY TEST	2-3
	4.1 SAMPLE EXTRACTION & PREPARATION OF TEST	3
	4.2 ROUTINE CLASSIFICATION TESTS	3
5.	PRESENTATION OF TEST RESULT	3
6.	METHOD FOR CALCULATION OF ALLOWABLE BEARING CAPACITY	2-4
	6.1 COHESIVE SOIL	2-3
	6.2 SOIL WITH VALUE OF C & $\Phi$	3-4
7.	METHOD FOR CALCULATION OF CAPACITY OF PLANE REAM PILE	4
	7.1 PLANE PILE IN COHESIVE SOIL	4
8.	RECOMMENDATION	26-27

## CONTENTS

TABLE NO.	CONTENTS	PAGE NO.
2-7	RESULTS OF DIFFERENT LABORATORY TESTS, FIELD TESTS & BORE HOLES DETAILS	6-11
8	SOIL STRATIFICATION	26

## LIST OF FIGURE / GRAPHS

SL. NO.	CONTENTS	PAGE NO.
1.	BORE HOLE LOCATION PLAN	5
2.	TRIAXIAL /DIRECT TEST RESULTS GRAPH (MOHR'S CIRCLE)	12-14
3.	SPT VERSUS DEPTH GRAPH	15-17
4.	GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION CURVE	18-20

## REPORT ON SUB-SOIL INVESTIGATION FOR THE CONSTRUCTION +2 SCHOOL AT HIGH SCHOOL SARBAHADI, BIHARSHARIF ,NALANDA

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The objective of subsoil investigation reported here in, were taken up, to find out the nature of subsoil at the site of the proposed construction and to recommend the type or types of foundation suitable for it and the corresponding allowable bearing capacity.

The necessary field tests were carried out at the site. Soil samples from various depths in the different bore holes were collected, transported, carefully to the laboratory and tested to determine the engineering properties of the soil.

Based on the test results, certain recommendation were made and given in this report, regarding the type of foundation suitable for the proposed project and the allowable bearing capacity for certain sizes thereof.

### 2. TOPOGRAPHY

The land in question was even.

### 3. FIELD WORK

The field work consists of boring, soil sampling and conduct of Standard penetration tests and Dynamic cone penetration tests.

#### 3.1 BORING

An appropriate number of boreholes of adequate depth were sunk at suitable spots as per direction of Engineer-in-charge. The details of the boreholes are given in table-1.

Table 1: Details of bore holes

DIAMETER OF BORE MM	DEPTH M	BORE HOLE
150	10.5	3 Bore Holes (BH-1 to BH-3)

The borings were kept dry while advancing through partially saturated soil. The position of water table in a borehole was recorded at least 48 hours after the stopping of the boring operation.

For boring below ground water level, the borehole was kept filled with water upto that level during boring.

#### 3.2 SAMPLING

Undisturbed & disturbed samples were collected at different depth/where change of strata occurred. Identification slips were provided both inside and outside the tube.

On arrival in laboratory, the identification slips were checked against the boring and sampling records. Samples were extracted from the tubes just before testing.

#### 3.3 STANDARD PENETRATION TEST

This test was performed in the boreholes at interval of depth of 1.5m, or at the change of starta/ as per IS: 2131 of 1963.

#### 3.4 DYNAMIC CONE PENETRATION TEST

This test was performed when a bore hole could not be advanced to desired depth due to caving- in of the soil, or when it was felt necessary to supplement the information gained from SPT. This test was performed, as per

## +2 SCHOOL AT HIGH SCHOOL SARBAHADI, BIHARSHARIF ,NALANDA

relevant IS code till high value of penetration resistance was encountered or till desired depth of investigation was reached, at which stage the test was stopped.

### 4. LABORATORY TEST

Lab. Test was performed to determine the following properties of soil samples as per relevant I.S. code.

- (a) Natural moisture content.
- (b) Bulk density.
- (c) Atterberg's limits (on fine grained soil only)
- (d) Grain size analysis.
- (e) Specific gravity.
- (f) Shear test.
- (i) Unconfined/triaxial compression tests for fine-grained soils.
- (ii) Direct shear test for coarse-grained soils.
- (g) Consolidation tests for fine grained soils.
- (h) Organic content, chemical test etc.
- (i) pH of soil and water.
- (j) Free swell Index
- (k) Crushing strength test (uniaxial)

#### 4.1 SAMPLE EXTRACTION & PREPARATION OF TEST SPECIMENS

Samples for different tests were prepared as per method described in relevant IS code/as per method described in standard book.

#### 4.2 ROUTINE CLASSIFICATION TESTS.

Tests for the determination of natural moisture content, bulk density, Atterberg's limit, grain size distribution and specific gravity were performed as per IS code on representative disturbed soil samples, wherever felt necessary. The results were used in classifying the soils of different strata as per IS code 1498-1970.

### 5.0 PRESENTATION OF TEST RESULT

Results were presented in table form on the following pages.

### 6.0 METHOD FOR CALCLATION OF ALLOWABLE BEARING CAPACITY

#### 6.1 COHESIVE SOIL

Net ultimate bearing capacity was calculated as per IS-6403-1981.  
 $q_d = cN_cS_cD_cI_c$

$q_d$  = net ultimate bearing capacity

$N_c=5.14$

$S_c=1$  for strip footing

$D_c=1+0.2*D/B$

$I_c=1$  for vertical loading

$c$  = cohesion obtained through unconfined compression test for depth of  $2B/3$  below the foundation.

+2 SCHOOL AT HIGH SCHOOL SARBAHADI, BIHARSHARIF ,NALANDA

Settlement criteria

$$S = H / (1 + e_0) * C_c * \log((p_0 + p_1) / p_0)$$

S= settlement

H=thickness of compressible layer

e<sub>0</sub>=initial void ratio

p<sub>0</sub>=initial effective pressure

p<sub>1</sub>=pressure increment

C<sub>c</sub>=compression index

6.2 Soil with the value of c &θ

Net ultimate bearing capacity was calculated as per IS 6403-1981

$$Q_d = c N_c S_c D_c I_c + q (N_q - 1) S_q D_q I_q + 0.5 R * B_{Nr} * S_r * D_r * I_r * w'$$

For local shear failure

$$\tan \theta' = 0.67 \tan \theta$$

$$C' = 2c/3$$

S<sub>c</sub>=S<sub>q</sub>=S<sub>r</sub>=1 for strip footing

$$D_c = 1 + 0.2(D/B) \tan(45 + \theta/2)$$

I<sub>c</sub>=I<sub>q</sub>=I<sub>r</sub>=1 for vertical loading

$$D_q = D_r = 1 + 0.1(D/B) \tan(45 + \theta/2)$$

$$q = (R - R_w) * D$$

M= moisture content

R= bulk density of soil

R<sub>w</sub>=unit weight of water

L.L.= liquid limit

P.L.=plastic limit

S.L.= shrinkage limit

D=depth below ground level

Settlement criteria

The net allowable bearing capacity for a permissible settlement of 25mm, was obtained by

+2 SCHOOL AT HIGH SCHOOL SARBAHADI, BIHARSHARIF ,NALANDA

teng's formula

$$Q_{na}=3.5*(N-3)*\{(B+0.3)/2*B\}*\{(B+0.3)/2*B\}*w*Fd$$

N= corrected N

Fd=1+D/B less than or equal to 2

7.0 METHOD FOR CALCLATION OF CAPACITY OF CAST-IN-SITU PLANE PILE AS PER BIS 2911 Part I/Sec 2-1979

7.1 COHESIVE SOIL

Net ultimate bearing capacity of pile is given by :

$$Q=Ap*Nc*Cp +a * C* As$$

Ap=cross sectional area of pile toe in cm<sup>2</sup>

Nc=Bearing capacity factor usually taken as 9

Cp=average cohesion at pile tip in Kg/cm

a=reduction factor

C= average cohesion throughout the length of pile in kg/cm<sup>2</sup>

As= surface area of pile shaft in cm<sup>2</sup>

8.0 METHOD FOR CALCLATION OF CAPACITY OF CAST-IN-SITU PLANE PILE AS PER BIS 2911 Part III-1980

8.1 COHESIVE SOIL

Net ultimate bearing capacity of pile is given by :

$$Q=Ap*Nc*Cp +Aa Nc* C'a + C'a*As'+\alpha*Ca*As$$

Ap=cross sectional area of pile toe in cm<sup>2</sup>

Nc=Bearing capacity factor usually taken as 9

Cp= cohesion of soil around toe.

$\alpha$ =reduction factor

$$Aa=\pi*(D_u^2 - D^2)/4$$

C'a= average cohesion around under ream

D<sub>u</sub>=dia of under-ream,D=dia of pile

As= surface area of pile shaft in cm<sup>2</sup>


As=surface area of stem

A's=surface area of the cylinder circumscribing the under ream.

Kalihari Kinner  
14 | 09 | 19  
JF/BSEBDC

5

305



NAME OF PROJECT : SOIL INVESTIGATION FOR CONSTRUCTION OF +2 SCHOOL AT HIGH SCHOOL SARBAJAD, BIHARSHARIF ,NALANDA 414J.T.C.,FRASER ROAD, PATNA		BORING DATES START :14.09.2019 FINISH :14.09.2019		TERMINATION DEPTH :10.5 WATER TABLE DEPTH : 9.0M		BORE HOLE NO :BH1											
SAMPLE NO	SPT BLOWS PER 30 CM	STANDARD PENETRATION RESISTANCE CURVE		GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS		ATTERBERGS LIMITS		DENSITY	NATURAL MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	SPECIFIC GRAVITY	CONSISTENCY LIMITS	SHEAR TEST	COMPRESSION TEST, <sup>a</sup>	UNCONFINED COMPRESSION TEST, <sup>a</sup>	COEFFICIENT OF VOLUME COMPRESSIBILITY Mv		
		5	10	20		CLAY (%)	SILT (%)	GRAVEL (%)	DRY DENSITY (gm/cm <sup>3</sup> )	BULK DENSITY (gm/cm <sup>3</sup> )	PLASTICITY INDEX (gm/cm <sup>3</sup> )	PLASTIC LIMIT	LIQUID LIMIT	ANGLE OF FRICTION IN DEGREE	VOID RATIO e <sub>o</sub>	INDEX CC	
DS	G.L.																
DS1																	
SPT1	1.5	31				Sand	SP	1.4	96.80	1.8		Non-Plastic	1.90	1.72	10.4	2.71	DST
DS2						Sand	SP	1.6	97.50	0.9		Non-Plastic	1.90	1.71	11.3	2.71	
SPT2	3	28				Sand	SP	0.8	98.70	0.5		Non-Plastic	1.90	1.70	11.6	2.68	DST
DS3						Sand	SP	0.8	98.20	1.0		Non-Plastic	1.90	1.72	10.7	2.68	DST
SPT3	4.5	27				Sand	SP	0.8	98.70	1.0		Non-Plastic	1.90	1.70	11.6	2.68	DST
DS4						Sand	SP	0.8	98.20	1.0		Non-Plastic	1.90	1.72	10.7	2.68	DST
SPT4	6	29															
UUT : UNCONSOLIDATED UNDRAINED TRIAXIAL SHEAR TEST		UCT : UNCONFINED COMPRESSION SHEAR TEST												DST : DIRECT SHEAR TEST			
! SAMPLE SLIPED ~ TEST ON REMOULDED SAMPLE		UDS : UNDISTURBED SAMPLE												SPT : STANDARD PENETRATION TEST VALUE			
NOTES : CONSOLIDATION TEST RESULTS ARE FOR THE LOADING RANGE OF 5.0-10.0 kN/m <sup>2</sup>																	

NAME OF PROJECT : SOIL INVESTIGATION FOR CONSTRUCTION OF +2 SCHOOL AT HIGH SCHOOL SARBABADI, BIHARSHARIF ,NALANDA		BORING DATES DEPTH :10.5		TERMINATION DEPTH :10.5		TABLE NO .3													
SAMPLE NO	DEPTH OF SAMPLE	OBSERVED VALUE	CORRECTED VALUE	VISUAL DESCRIPTION OF SOIL WITH B.I.S.	GRAVEL (%)	SILT (%)	CLAY (%)	BULK DENSITY (gm/cm <sup>3</sup> )	DRY DENSITY (gm/cm <sup>3</sup> )	WATER CONTENT (%)	ATTERBERGS DENSITY LIMITS	PLASTICITY INDEX	TYPE OF TEST	VOID RATIO eo	COMPRESSION INDEX Cc	UNCONFINED COMPRESSION TEST ,q	kg/cm <sup>2</sup>	COMPRESSIBILITY Mv	BORE HOLE NO :BH1
UDS 5	5	10	20	Silty Clay Cl	0.00	1.25	98.8	41	24	17	1.98	1.61	22.70	2.64	UUT	0.50	20.00		
SPT5 7.5	24			Silty Clay Cl	0.00	1.36	98.6	41	24	17	1.98	1.63	21.80	2.64					
UDS 6				Silty Clay Cl	0.00	1.50	98.5	41	24	17	1.98	1.61	22.80	2.64	UUT	0.50	20.00		
SPT6 9.0	23			Silty Clay Cl	0.00	1.50	98.5	41	24	17	1.98	1.61	22.80	2.64	UUT	0.50	20.00		
UDS 7																			
SPT7 10.5	26																		
UUT : UNCONSOLIDATED UNDRAINED TRIAXIAL SHEAR TEST																			
! SAMPLE SLIPED ~ TEST ON REMOULDLED SAMPLE										UDS : UNDISTURBED SAMPLE									
NOTES : CONSOLIDATION TEST RESULTS ARE FOR THE LOADING RANGE OF 5.0-10.0 kN/m <sup>2</sup>																			
UCT : UNCONFINED COMPRESSION SHEAR TEST										DST : DIRECT SHEAR TEST									
SPT : STANDARD PENETRATION TEST VALUE																			


NAME OF PROJECT : SOIL INVESTIGATION FOR CONSTRUCTION OF +2 SCHOOL AT HIGH SCHOOL SARBAHADI, BIHARSHARIF ,NALANDA ,414J. T.C.,FRASE R ROAD, PATNA		BORING DATES DEPTH :10.5 START :14.09.2019 FINISH :14.09.2019		TERMINATION DEPTH :9.0M BORE HOLE NO :BH2		TABLE NO .4											
SAMPLe NO	DEPTH OF SAMPLE G.L.	STANDARD PENETRATION RESISTANCE CURVE		GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS		ATTERBERG'S LIMITS	DENSITY	NATURAL MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	SPECIFIC GRAVITY	TYPE OF TEST	VOID RATIO eo	INDEX CG	COMPRESSION INDEX	UNCONFINED COMPRESSION TEST ,q	COMFICIENT OF VOLUME	COMFICIENT OF MV	
		SPT BLOWS PER 30 CM	CORRECTED VALUE	VISUAL DESCRIPTION OF SOIL WITH B.I.S.	CLASSIFICATION												
DS1				Sand SP	1.4	97.10	1.5			Non-Plastic	1.90	1.72	10.2	2.71	DST	0.00	30.00
SPT1	1.5	28		Sand SP	1.4	97.10	1.5			Non-Plastic	1.90	1.72	10.2	2.71	DST	0.00	30.00
DS2				Sand SP	1.5	97.20	1.3			Non-Plastic	1.90	1.72	10.6	2.71			
SPT2	3	27		Sand SP	0.9	98.40	0.7			Non-Plastic	1.90	1.71	10.8	2.68	DST	0.00	32.00
DS3				Sand SP	0.9	98.30	0.8			Non-Plastic	1.90	1.72	10.6	2.68	DST	0.00	32.00
SPT3	4.5	27		Sand SP	0.9	98.30	0.8			Non-Plastic	1.90	1.71	10.8	2.68	DST	0.00	32.00
DS4				Sand SP	0.9	98.30	0.8			Non-Plastic	1.90	1.72	10.6	2.68	DST	0.00	32.00
UUT : UNCONSOLIDATED UNDRAINED TRIAXIAL SHEAR TEST		UCT : UNCONFINED COMPRESSION SHEAR TEST												DST : DIRECT SHEAR TEST			
SAMPLE SLIPED ~ TEST ON REMOULDED SAMPLE		UDS : UNDISTURBED SAMPLE												SPT : STANDARD PENETRATION TEST VALUE			
NOTES : CONSOLIDATION TEST RESULTS ARE FOR THE LOADING RANGE OF 5.0-10.0 kN/m <sup>2</sup>																	

NAME OF PROJECT : SOIL INVESTIGATION FOR CONSTRUCTION OF +2 SCHOOL AT HIGH SCHOOL SARBAHADI, BIHARSHARIF, NALANDA		TABLE NO : 3	
		BORING DATES START : 14.09.2019 FINISH : 14.09.2019	TERMINATION DEPTH : 10.5 WATER TABLE DEPTH : 9.0M BORE HOLE NO : BH2
SAMPLE NO	DEPTH OF SAMPLE	STANDARD PENETRATION RESISTANCE CURVE	
		SPT BLOWS PER 30 CM	5      10      20
UDS 5	0.00	CORRECTED VALUE OBSERVED	7.5
SPT5 7.5	0.00	1.20	26
UDS 6	0.00	1.20	28
SPT6 9.0	0.00	1.60	25
UDS 7	0.00	1.60	25
SPT7 10.5	0.00	1.60	25
UUUT : UNCONSOLIDATED UNDRAINED TRIAXIAL SHEAR TEST		UCT : UNCONFINED COMPRESSION SHEAR TEST	
SAMPLE SUIPED ~ TEST ON REMOULDLED SAMPLE	UDS : UNDISTURBED SAMPLE		DST : DIRECT SHEAR TEST
NOTES : CONSOLIDATION TEST RESULTS ARE FOR THE LOADING RANGE OF 5.0-10.0 t/m <sup>2</sup>		SPT : STANDARD PENETRATION TEST VALUE	




NAME OF PROJECT : SOIL INVESTIGATION FOR CONSTRUCTION OF +2 SCHOOL AT HIGH SCHOOL SARBHAJADI, BIHARSHARIF ,NALANDA		BOILING DATES TERMINATION DEPTH :10.5 START :14.09.2019 WATER TABLE DEPTH : 9.0M FINISH :14.09.2019 BORE HOLE NO :BH3		TABLE NO .3																					
SAMPLE NO	DEPTH OF SAMPLE	OBSERVED VALUE	CORRECTED VALUE	VISUAL DESCRIPTION OF SOIL WITH B.I.S.	GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS	ATTERBERG'S LIMITS	DENSITY	PLASTICITY INDEX	BULK DENSITY (gm/cm <sup>3</sup> )	DRY DENSITY (gm/cm <sup>3</sup> )	NATURAL MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	SPECIFIC GRAVITY	INDEX CG	VOID RATIO eo	UNCONFINED COMPRESSION TEST	DEGREE OF FRICTION IN COHESION C (kg/cm <sup>2</sup> )	ANGLE OF FRICTION IN DEGREE	COMPRESSION TEST	CONSISTENCY LIMITS	cm <sup>3</sup> /kg	COMPRESSIBILITY MV	VOLUME kg/cm <sup>2</sup>	COEFFICIENT OF UNDISTURBED SAMPLE	TEST	
UDS																									
5	5	10	20	Silty Clay Cl	GRAVEL (%)	SILT (%)	SAND (%)	CLAY (%)	0.00	1.30	98.7	41	24	17	1.98	1.62	22.50	2.64	UUT	0.50	20.00				
SPT5	7.5	22		Silty Clay Cl																					
UDS				Silty Clay Cl	0.00	1.20	98.8	41	24	17	1.98	1.62	22.50	2.64	UUT	0.50	20.00								
SPT6	9.0	23		Silty Clay Cl	0.00	1.60	98.4	41	24	17	1.98	1.62	22.50	2.64	UUT	0.50	20.00								
UDS				Silty Clay Cl	0.00	1.60	98.4	41	24	17	1.98	1.62	22.50	2.64	UUT	0.50	20.00								
SPT7	10.5	25		Silty Clay Cl	0.00	1.60	98.4	41	24	17	1.98	1.62	22.50	2.64	UUT	0.50	20.00								
UUT : UNCONSOLIDATED UNDRAINED TRIAXIAL SHEAR TEST																									
! SAMPLE SLIPED ~ TEST ON REMOULDLED SAMPLE	UDS : UNDISTURBED SAMPLE												SPT : STANDARD PENETRATION TEST VALUE												
NOTES : CONSOLIDATION TEST RESULTS ARE FOR THE LOADING RANGE OF 5.0-10.0 t/m <sup>2</sup>																									

## TRIAXIAL/DIRECT TEST RESULT




+2 SCHOOL AT HIGH SCHOOL SARBAHADI, BIHARSHARIF, NALANDA


TRIAXIAL/DIRECT TEST RESULT



## TRIAXIAL/DIRECT TEST RESULT




E LOG AND DEPTH ~ SPT GRAPH (+2 SCHOOL AT HIGH SCHOOL SARBAHADI, BIHARSHARIF ,NALANDA)




BORE LOG  
BH1

E LOG AND DEPTH ~ SPT GRAPH (+2 SCHOOL AT HIGH SCHOOL SARBAHADI, BIHARSHARI, NALANDA)



BORE LOG  
BH2


BORE LOG AND DEPTH ~ SPT GRAPH (+2 SCHOOL AT HIGH SCHOOL SARBAHADI, BIHARSHARIF, NALANDA)



BORE LOG  
BH3


CONSTRUCTION OF +2 SCHOOL AT HIGH SCHOOL SARBAHADI, BIHARSHARIF ,NALANDA

GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION CURVE (BHI & DEPTH =1.5m)




CONSTRUCTION OF +2 SCHOOL AT HIGH SCHOOL SARBAHADI, BIHARSHARIF ,NALANDA

GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION CURVE (BHI & DEPTH =3.0m)




CONSTRUCTION OF +2 SCHOOL AT HIGH SCHOOL SARBAHADI, BIHARSHARIF ,NALANDA

GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION CURVE (BHI & DEPTH =4.5m)




CONSTRUCTION OF +2 SCHOOL AT HIGH SCHOOL SARBAHADI, BIHARSHARIF ,NALANDA

GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION CURVE (BHI & DEPTH =6.0m)




CONSTRUCTION OF +2 SCHOOL AT HIGH SCHOOL SARBAHADI, BIHARSHARIF ,NALANDA

GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION CURVE (BHI & DEPTH =7.5m)




CONSTRUCTION OF +2 SCHOOL AT HIGH SCHOOL SARBAHADI, BIHARSHARIF ,NALANDA

GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION CURVE (BHI & DEPTH =9.0m)




CONSTRUCTION OF +2 SCHOOL AT HIGH SCHOOL SARBAHADI, BIHARSHARIF ,NALANDA

GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION CURVE (BHI & DEPTH =10.5m)




CONSTRUCTION OF +2 SCHOOL AT HIGH SCHOOL SARBAHADI, BIHARSHARIF ,NALANDA

GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION CURVE (BH2 & DEPTH =1.5m)




CONSTRUCTION OF +2 SCHOOL AT HIGH SCHOOL SARBAHADI, BIHARSHARIF ,NALANDA

GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION CURVE (BH2 & DEPTH =3.0m)




CONSTRUCTION OF +2 SCHOOL AT HIGH SCHOOL SARBAHADI, BIHARSHARIF ,NALANDA

GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION CURVE (BH2 & DEPTH =4.5m)




CONSTRUCTION OF +2 SCHOOL AT HIGH SCHOOL SARBAHADI, BIHARSHARIF ,NALANDA

GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION CURVE (BH2 & DEPTH =6.0m)




CONSTRUCTION OF +2 SCHOOL AT HIGH SCHOOL SARBAHADI, BIHARSHARIF ,NALANDA

GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION CURVE (BH2 & DEPTH =7.5m)




CONSTRUCTION OF +2 SCHOOL AT HIGH SCHOOL SARBAHADI, BIHARSHARIF ,NALANDA

GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION CURVE (BH2 & DEPTH =9.0m)




CONSTRUCTION OF +2 SCHOOL AT HIGH SCHOOL SARBAHADI, BIHARSHARIF ,NALANDA

GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION CURVE (BH2 & DEPTH =10.5m)




CONSTRUCTION OF +2 SCHOOL AT HIGH SCHOOL SARBAHADI, BIHARSHARIF ,NALANDA

GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION CURVE (BH3 & DEPTH =1.5m)




CONSTRUCTION OF +2 SCHOOL AT HIGH SCHOOL SARBAHADI, BIHARSHARIF ,NALANDA

GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION CURVE (BH3 & DEPTH =3.0m)




CONSTRUCTION OF +2 SCHOOL AT HIGH SCHOOL SARBAHADI, BIHARSHARIF ,NALANDA

GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION CURVE (BH3 & DEPTH =4.5m)




CONSTRUCTION OF +2 SCHOOL AT HIGH SCHOOL SARBAHADI, BIHARSHARIF ,NALANDA

GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION CURVE (BH3 & DEPTH =6.0m)




CONSTRUCTION OF +2 SCHOOL AT HIGH SCHOOL SARBAHADI, BIHARSHARIF ,NALANDA

GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION CURVE (BH3 & DEPTH =7.5m)




CONSTRUCTION OF +2 SCHOOL AT HIGH SCHOOL SARBAHADI, BIHARSHARIF ,NALANDA

GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION CURVE (BH3 & DEPTH =9.0m)



CONSTRUCTION OF +2 SCHOOL AT HIGH SCHOOL SARBAHADI, BIHARSHARIF ,NALANDA

GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION CURVE (BH3 & DEPTH =10.5m)




NAME OF PROJECT : SOIL INVESTIGATION FOR CONSTRUCTION OF +2 SCHOOL AT HIGH SCHOOL SARBAHADI, BIHARSHARIF ,NALANDA

Calculation of Net safe Bearing Capacity for Strip Footing

Table 1 BEARING CAPACITY FACTORS AS PER IS 6403 : 1981

Angle of shearing resistance of soil, phi	Nc	Nq	Ny	
0	5.14	1	0	
5	6.49	1.57	0.45	
10	8.35	2.47	1.22	
15	10.98	3.94	2.65	
20	14.83	6.4	5.39	
25	20.72	10.66	10.88	
30	30.14	18.4	22.4	
35	46.12	33.3	48.03	
40	75.31	64.2	109.41	
45	138.88	134.88	271.76	
50	266.89	319.07	762.89	
Depth of footing below GL in meter,D=	1.5			
Width of footing in meter,B=	2			
Effective depth of soil formation contributing	2.8			
Average cohesion of soil mobilised in Ton/m <sup>2</sup> =	0.00			
unit weight of soil in ton/m <sup>2</sup> ,y=	1.90			
Angle of shearing resistance of soil, phi,in degree =	30.00	Corresponding Nc/N'c= 16.18	Corresponding Nq/N'q= 7.38	Corresponding Ny/N'y= 6.65
Effective Angle of shearing resistance of soil, phi,in degree =	21.15	Corresponding Nc/N'c= 16.18	Corresponding Nq/N'q= 7.38	Corresponding Ny/N'y= 6.65
Depth factor,dc=	1.22	dc=1+0.2*(Df/B)*tan(45+phi/2)		
Depth factor,dq=	1.11	dq=1+0.1*(Df/B)*tan(45+phi/2) if phi >10 otherwise dq=1		
Depth factor,dy=	1.11	dy=1+0.1*(Df/B)*tan(45+phi/2) if phi >10 otherwise dy=1		
effective surcharge at base level of foundation,q=yD	2.9	q=yD		
Q1 ton/m <sup>2</sup> =	0.00	Q1=(2/3)*c*N'c*dc		
Q2 ton/m <sup>2</sup> =	20.54	Q2=q*(N'q-1)*dq		
Q3 ton/m <sup>2</sup> =	7.01	Q3=(1/2)*B*y*N'y*dy*W'		
ultimate bearing capacity Q ton/m <sup>2</sup> =	27.55	Q=Q1+Q2+Q3		
Factor of safety,F.S. =	2.5			
Net Safe Bearing Capacity in ton/m <sup>2</sup> q=	11.0	q=Q1/F.S.		

Pile Design



$$A_p = \text{base area} = 0.159 \text{ mm}^2$$

$$\text{Overburden Pressure corresponding to } L(6.75\text{m}) = 6.075 \text{ t/m}^2$$

\*\*\*\*\*

Strata I

$\phi$	Nc	Nq	Ny	Average c=	c at end	$\alpha$	$\gamma$
30	30.1	18.400	22.4	0	0	1	1.9

Top of Strata

$$\text{Depth}= 0.000 \quad \text{Average } \gamma= 1.9 \text{ t/m}^3$$

$$\text{Pressure}= 0.000 \quad \text{due to submerged soil}$$

$$\text{Effective Length of pile } L \text{ in m for overburden estimation} = 15 \times 0.45 =$$

$$= 6.75 \text{ m}$$

$$\text{Pressure(Limiting at top of Strata)}= 0.000 \text{ t/m}^2$$

End of Strata

$$\text{Depth}= 3.000 \quad \text{Average } \gamma= 1.90 \text{ t/m}^3$$

$$\text{Pressure}= 2.700 \text{ t/m}^2 \quad \text{due to submerged soil}$$

$$\text{Pressure at end of strata}= 2.700 \text{ not grater than limiting}$$

$$\text{Avearage Pressure in Strata for end bearing}= 1.35 \text{ t/m}^2$$

$$\text{Avearage Pressure in Strata for skin bearing}= 1.35 \text{ t/m}^2$$

$$\text{Surface area of Starata I}= 4.241 \text{ m}^2$$

**Capacity due to fine grained soil**

$$Q_{\text{skin}} = f \alpha c A_s = 0.0 \text{ t}$$

$$Q_{\text{end}} = A_p N_c C_p = 0.0 \text{ t}$$

**Capacity due to coarse grained soil**

$$k= 1 \quad \delta= 30 \quad N_q= 21$$

$$\text{Skin friction in ton } Q_s = k * P_d * \tan(\delta) * A_s$$

$$= 3.3 \text{ t}$$

$$\text{End bearing in ton } Q_b = A_p * [0.5 * D * y * N_y + P_d * N_q] =$$

$$= 9.7 \text{ t}$$

\*\*\*\*\*

**Strata II**

$\phi$	Nc	Nq	Ny	Average c=	c at end	$\alpha$	$\gamma$
31	33.34	21.380	27.53	0	0	1	1.9

**Top of Strata**

Depth= 3.000 Average  $\gamma$ = 1.9 t/m<sup>3</sup>

Pressure= 2.700 due to submerged soil

Effective Length of pile L in m for overburden estimation = 6.75 m

Pressure(Limiting at top of Strata)= 2.700 t/m<sup>2</sup>

**End of Strata**

Depth= 6.500 Average  $\gamma$ = 1.90 t/m<sup>3</sup>

Pressure= 5.850 t/m<sup>2</sup> due to submerged soil

Pressure at end of strata= 5.850 not grater than limiting

Average Pressure in Strata for end bearing= 4.275 t/m<sup>2</sup>

Average Pressure in Strata for skin bearing= 4.275 t/m<sup>2</sup>

Surface area of Strata II= 4.948 m<sup>2</sup>

**Capacity due to fine grained soil**

Q skin=  $f \alpha c A_s$  = 0.0 t

Q end=  $A_p N_c C_p$  = 0.0 t

**Capacity due to coarse grained soil**

$k= 1 \quad \delta= 31 \quad N_q = 28$

Skin friction in ton  $Q_s=k^*P_d^*\tan(\delta)*A_s$

$$= 12.71 \text{ t}$$

End bearing in ton  $= Q_b=A_p*[0.5*D*y*Ny+P_d*Nq]=$

$$26.9 \text{ t}$$

**Strata III**

$\phi$	Nc	Nq	Ny	Average c=	c at end	$\alpha$	$\gamma$
20	14.83	6.400	5.39	5	5	0.6	1.98

**Top of Strata**

Depth= 6.500 Average  $\gamma$ = 1.9 t/m<sup>3</sup>

Pressure= 5.850 due to submerged soil

Effective Length of pile L in m for overburden estimation = 6.75 m

Pressure(Limiting at top of Strata)= 5.850 t/m<sup>2</sup>

**End of Strata**

Depth= 8.500 Average  $\gamma$ = 1.93 t/m<sup>3</sup>

Pressure= 7.905 t/m<sup>2</sup> due to submerged soil

Pressure at end of strata= 6.075 not grater than limiting

Average Pressure in Strata for end bearing= 5.9625 t/m<sup>2</sup>

Average Pressure in Strata for skin bearing= 6.88

Surface area of Strata III= 2.827 m<sup>2</sup>

**Capacity due to fine grained soil**

Q skin=  $f \alpha c A_s$  = 8.481 t

Q end=  $A_p N_c C_p$  = 11.790 t

**Capacity due to coarse grained soil**

$k= 1 \quad \delta= 20 \quad N_q = 6.4$

Skin friction in ton  $Q_s=k^*P_d^*\tan(\delta)*A_s$

$$= 7.079 \text{ t}$$

End bearing in ton  $= Q_b=A_p*[0.5*D*y*Ny+P_d*Nq]=$

$$6.371 \text{ t}$$

**Strata IV**

$\phi$	Nc	Nq	Ny	Average	c at end	$\alpha$	$\gamma$
20	14.83	6.400	5.39	5	5	0.6	1.98

**Top of Strata**

Depth= 8.500      Average  $\gamma$ = 1.926667 t/m<sup>3</sup>  
 Pressure= 7.877      due to submerged soil

Effective Length of pile L in m for overburden estimation = 6.75 m

Pressure(Limiting at top of Strata)= 6.075 t/m<sup>2</sup>

**End of Strata**

Depth= 10.500      Average  $\gamma$ = 1.94 t/m<sup>3</sup>  
 Pressure= 9.870 t/m<sup>2</sup>      due to submerged soil

Pressure at end of strata= 6.075 not grater than limiting

Average Pressure in Strata for end bearing= 6.075 t/m<sup>2</sup>

Average Pressure in Strata for skin bearing= 8.87

Surface area of Strata IV= 2.827 m<sup>2</sup>

**Capacity due to fine grained soil**

Q skin=  $f \alpha c A_s$  = 8.481 t

Q end=  $A_p N_c C_p$  = 11.790 t

**Capacity due to coarse grained soil**

$k= 1$        $\delta= 20$        $N_q = 6.4$

Skin friction in ton  $Q_s=k*P_d*tan(\delta)*A_s$   
 = 9.127 t

End bearing in ton  $= Q_b=A_p*[0.5*D*y*Ny+P_d*Nq]=$   
 6.371 t

**Capacity of Pile**

Dia= 450 mm

Depth= 6.500 M

Capacity=  $(3.3)+(39.61)= 42.910$  t

F.S.= 2.500

Safe Capacity= 17.2 t

\*\*\*\*\*

**Capacity of Pile**

Dia= 450 mm

Depth= 8.500 M

Capacity=  $(3.3)+(12.71) + (33.721)=$  49.73 t

F.S.= 2.500

Safe Capacity= 19.9 t

**Capacity of Pile**

Dia= 450 mm

Depth= 10.500 M

Capacity=  $(3.3)+(12.71) + (15.56)+(35.769)=$  67.34 t

F.S.= 2.500

Safe Capacity= 26.9 t

Table 8

Soil stratification

DEPTH	SOIL TYPE	CONSISTANCY	CLASSIFICATION
0.0-6.5	SAND	MEDIUM TO DENSE	SP
6.5-10.5	SILTY CLAY	MEDIUM	CI

Water table has been found at 9.0m depth below NGL as reported in the month of September'2019.

RECOMMENDATION

The present report is prepared on the basis of lab. Test result & field test conducted in the field.

The lab. Test result is obtained by conducting different test on representative sample obtained through 3 no. of bore holes whose location and depth were decided by Engineer-in-charge of the department and shown in the bore hole location plan. These Boreholes are marked as BH1, BH2 and BH3.

The laboratory test of soil samples obtained in all bore holes are given in Tables 2-7. Study of these tables reveals :

(a) Strata up to 6.5m is dominated by coarse grained soil. Rest of strata upto 10.5m depth is fine grained soil. Both Shallow as well as pile foundation is feasible for the site. Bentonite or casing may be suggested to prevent the collapse of pile bore. Since, Permissible differential settlement depends on the structural parameters such as structural system, span etc., these can be obtained from the IS 1904, 1986.

By way of example the calculated value of safe capacity of certain type and size of Shallow foundation are being tabulated below: -

Shallow foundation

Depth below GL (m)	Width of foundation (m)	Allowable bearing capacity(t/m <sup>2</sup> )	Maximum expected settlement(mm)
1.5	2.0	10.0	50

+2 SCHOOL AT HIGH SCHOOL SARBAHADI, BIHARSHARIF ,NALANDA

By way of example the calculated value of safe capacity of certain diameter of plane pile using IS : 2911 (Part I, Sec. 2 ) 2010, Appendix B. Clause B-1 are being tabulated below:-

**Plane Pile**

Depth of Pile below GL(m)	Dia of Pile (m)	Allowable Capacity (Ton)
6.5	0.45	17
8.5	0.45	20
10.5	0.45	27

**Limitation**

If the sub-soil condition is found much different from those reported here during trenching, suitable steps should be taken. Back filling over footing shall be done with proper compaction.

Pile capacity shall be confirmed by Initial and Routine pile load test as per relevant Indian codes.

*Anil Kumar Sariar*

ANIL KUMAR SARIAR

Partner Shamvvi consultant